

# On the inverse eigenvalue problem for a specific symmetric matrix

Maryam Babaei Zarch\*

*Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Vali-e-Asr University of Rafsanjan, Rafsanjan, Kerman, Iran  
Email(s): m.babaei@vru.ac.ir*

---

**Abstract.** The aim of the current paper is to study a partially described inverse eigenvalue problem of a specific symmetric matrix, and prove some properties of such matrix. The problem includes the construction of the matrix by the minimal eigenvalue of all leading principal submatrices and eigenpair  $(\lambda_2^{(n)}, x)$  such that  $\lambda_2^{(n)}$  is the maximal eigenvalue of the required matrix. We investigate conditions for the solvability of the problem, and finally an algorithm and its numerical results are presented.

*Keywords:* Eigenvalue, eigenpair, leading principal submatrices, inverse eigenvalue problem.

*AMS Subject Classification 2010:* 05C50, 65F18.

---

## 1 Introduction

Constructing a matrix with a particular structure from total or a partial eigendata is regarded as inverse eigenvalue problem arising in some applications. In [1] inverse eigenvalue problems are described with details. Special types of inverse eigenvalue problems have been studied in [2–4]. The problem in this paper involves the construction of a specific symmetric matrix. This is carried out through the minimal eigenvalue of each of its leading principal submatrices and an eigenpair of the matrix. The symmetric matrix will be of the following form

---

\*Corresponding author

Received: 9 March 2023 / Revised: 21 April 2023 / Accepted: 12 May 2023  
DOI: 10.22124/JMM.2023.24068.2151



**Lemma 3.** [4] Let  $A_n$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix of the form (1) and  $\lambda_1^{(j)}, \lambda_2^{(j)}$  be the minimal and maximal eigenvalue of the leading principal submatrix  $A_j$  of  $A_n$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then

$$\lambda_1^{(n)} < \lambda_1^{(n-1)} < \dots < \lambda_1^{(2)} < \lambda_1^{(1)} < \lambda_2^{(2)} < \dots < \lambda_2^{(n)}, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\lambda_1^{(j)} < a_k < \lambda_2^{(j)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, j, \quad j = 2, \dots, n. \quad (3)$$

### 3 The existence of the solution of the problem IEP1

Let  $\{\varphi_j(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I_j - A_j)\}_{j=1}^n$  be the sequence of characteristic polynomials of  $A_n$ . We obtain the recurrence relation  $\varphi_j(\lambda)$  of the matrix  $A_n$  in Lemma 4.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $A_n$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix of the form (1). Then the sequence of  $\{\varphi_j(\lambda)\}_{j=1}^n$  satisfies the following recurrence relations

$$(i) \quad \varphi_1(\lambda) = (\lambda - a_1);$$

$$(ii) \quad \varphi_j(\lambda) = (\lambda - a_j)\varphi_{j-1}(\lambda) - b_{j-1}^2 \prod_{k=2}^{j-1} (\lambda - a_k), \quad j = 2, \dots, p+2;$$

$$(iii) \quad \varphi_j(\lambda) = (\lambda - a_j)\varphi_{j-1}(\lambda) - b_{j-1}^2 \varphi_{j-2}(\lambda), \quad j = p+3, \dots, p+m+1;$$

$$(iv) \quad \varphi_j(\lambda) = (\lambda - a_j)\varphi_{j-1}(\lambda) - b_{j-1}^2 \varphi_{p+m-1}(\lambda) \prod_{k=p+m+1}^{j-1} (\lambda - a_k), \quad j = p+m+2, \dots, n,$$

where  $\varphi_0(\lambda) = 1$ .

*Proof.* The relations can be verified by expanding the determinant. □

In the following lemma, we show how to gain the component  $x_j$  of  $x$  from elements  $A_{j-1}$  and  $x_1$ .

**Lemma 5.** If  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$  is eigenvector of  $A_n$  corresponding to maximal eigenvalue  $\lambda_2^{(n)}$ , then  $x_1 \neq 0$  and components of this eigenvector are given by:

$$x_j = \frac{-b_{j-1}x_1}{(a_j - \lambda_2^{(n)})}, \quad j = 2, \dots, p+1, \quad (4)$$

$$x_j = \frac{(-1)^j \varphi_{j-1}(\lambda_2^{(n)})x_1}{\prod_{i=p+1}^{j-1} b_i \prod_{k=2}^{p+1} (a_k - \lambda_2^{(n)})}, \quad j = p+2, \dots, p+m, \quad (5)$$

$$x_j = \frac{-b_{j-1} \varphi_{p+m-1}(\lambda_2^{(n)})x_1}{(a_j - \lambda_2^{(n)}) \prod_{i=p+1}^{p+m-1} b_i \prod_{k=2}^{p+1} (a_k - \lambda_2^{(n)})}, \quad j = p+m+1, \dots, n. \quad (6)$$

*Proof.* Since  $(\lambda_2^{(n)}, x)$  is an eigenpair of  $A_n$ , so  $A_n x = \lambda_2^{(n)} x$ , which can be transformed into the form

$$(a_1 - \lambda_2^{(n)})x_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{p+2} b_{i-1}x_i = 0, \tag{7}$$

$$b_{j-1}x_1 + (a_j - \lambda_2^{(n)})x_j = 0, \quad j = 2, \dots, p+1, \tag{8}$$

$$b_{p+1}x_1 + (a_{p+2} - \lambda_2^{(n)})x_{p+2} + b_{p+2}x_{p+3} = 0, \tag{9}$$

$$b_{j-1}x_{j-1} + (a_j - \lambda_2^{(n)})x_j + b_j x_{j+1} = 0, \quad j = p+3, \dots, p+m-1, \tag{10}$$

$$b_{p+m-1}x_{p+m-1} + (a_{p+m} - \lambda_2^{(n)})x_{p+m-1} + \sum_{i=p+m+1}^n b_{i-1}x_i = 0, \tag{11}$$

$$b_{j-1}x_{p+m} + (a_j - \lambda_2^{(n)})x_j = 0, \quad j = p+m+1, \dots, n. \tag{12}$$

By Lemma 3 for  $j = 2, \dots, p+1$  we have  $(a_j - \lambda_2^{(n)}) \neq 0$ . Therefore, according to (8),  $x_j$  can be written as follows

$$x_j = \frac{-b_{j-1}x_1}{(a_j - \lambda_2^{(n)})}, \quad j = 2, \dots, p+1.$$

As a result, (4) holds.

For  $j = p+2, \dots, p+m$ , it can be shown by induction on  $j$  that (5) holds. For the base case by (7) we have

$$x_{p+2} = \frac{(\lambda_2^{(n)} - a_1)x_1 - \sum_{i=2}^{p+1} b_{i-1}x_i}{b_{p+1}},$$

by replacing  $x_j, j = 2, \dots, p+1$  we get

$$x_{p+2} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{p+1} (a_j - \lambda_2^{(n)})x_1 - \sum_{i=2}^{p+1} (b_{j-1}^2 \prod_{k=2, k \neq j}^{p+1} (a_k - \lambda_2^{(n)}))x_1}{b_{p+1} \prod_{k=2}^{p+1} (a_k - \lambda_2^{(n)})} = \frac{(-1)^{p+2} \varphi_{p+1}(\lambda_2^{(n)})x_1}{b_{p+1} \prod_{k=2}^{p+1} (a_k - \lambda_2^{(n)})}.$$

Now suppose the lemma holds for  $j = p+3, \dots, p+m-1$ , we prove it for  $j = p+m$ . From (10) we obtain

$$x_{p+m} = \frac{-b_{p+m-2}x_{p+m-2} + (\lambda_2^{(n)} - a_{p+m-1})x_{p+m-1}}{b_{p+m-1}}. \tag{13}$$

By induction we have

$$x_{p+m-2} = \frac{(-1)^{p+m-2} \varphi_{p+m-3}(\lambda_2^{(n)})x_1}{\prod_{i=p+1}^{p+m-3} b_i \prod_{k=2}^{p+1} (a_k - \lambda_2^{(n)})}, \tag{14}$$

and

$$x_{p+m-1} = \frac{(-1)^{p+m-1} \varphi_{p+m-2}(\lambda_2^{(n)})x_1}{\prod_{i=p+1}^{p+m-2} b_i \prod_{k=2}^{p+1} (a_k - \lambda_2^{(n)})}. \tag{15}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} x_{p+m} &= \frac{-b_{p+m-2}}{b_{p+m-1}} \times \left( \frac{(-1)^{p+m-2} \varphi_{p+m-3}(\lambda_2^{(n)})}{\prod_{i=p+1}^{p+m-3} b_i \prod_{k=2}^{p+1} (a_k - \lambda_2^{(n)})} \right) x_1 \\ &\quad + \frac{(\lambda_2^{(n)} - a_{p+m-1})}{b_{p+m-1}} \times \left( \frac{(-1)^{p+m-1} \varphi_{p+m-2}(\lambda_2^{(n)})}{\prod_{i=p+1}^{p+m-2} b_i \prod_{k=2}^{p+1} (a_k - \lambda_2^{(n)})} \right) x_1 \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{p+m} \varphi_{p+m-1}(\lambda_2^{(n)}) x_1}{\prod_{i=p+1}^{p+m-1} b_i \prod_{k=2}^{p+1} (a_k - \lambda_2^{(n)})}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $j = p + m + 1, \dots, n$  by Lemma 3 we have  $(a_j - \lambda_2^{(n)}) \neq 0$ . Therefore, according to (12),  $x_j$  can be written as follows:

$$x_j = \frac{-b_{j-1} x_{p+m}}{(a_j - \lambda_2^{(n)})},$$

by replacing  $x_{p+m}$  in above equation we get

$$x_j = \frac{-b_{j-1} \varphi_{p+m-1}(\lambda_2^{(n)}) x_1}{(a_j - \lambda_2^{(n)}) \prod_{i=p+1}^{p+m-1} b_i \prod_{k=2}^{p+1} (a_k - \lambda_2^{(n)})}.$$

Since  $x$  is an eigenvector, we have  $x \neq 0$ . If  $x_1 = 0$  then from (4), (5) and (6) all other entries of  $x$  become zero, hence  $x_1 \neq 0$ . □

Theorem 1 presents the solution to the IEP1 and the conditions under which the problem is solvable.

**Theorem 1.** *There are solutions to IPE1 if the following conditions are satisfied*

(a) *There is a solution  $\alpha > 0$  of the equation*

$$\alpha^2 \prod_{i=2}^{j-1} (\lambda_1^{(j)} - a_i) - \frac{\alpha x_1 \varphi_{j-1}(\lambda_1^{(j)})}{x_j} + (\lambda_2^{(n)} - \lambda_1^{(j)}) \varphi_{j-1}(\lambda_1^{(j)}) = 0,$$

for  $j = 2, \dots, p + 1$ .

(b) *There is a solution  $\beta > 0$  of the equation*

$$\beta^2 \varphi_{p+m-1}(\lambda_1^{(j)}) \prod_{i=p+m+1}^{j-1} (\lambda_1^{(j)} - a_i) - \frac{\beta x_1 \varphi_{j-1}(\lambda_1^{(j)})}{x_j} + (\lambda_2^{(n)} - \lambda_1^{(j)}) \varphi_{j-1}(\lambda_1^{(j)}) = 0,$$

for  $j = p + m + 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* Solving the IEP1 is equivalent to solving the equations

$$\varphi_j(\lambda_1^{(j)}) = 0, \quad (16)$$

$$A_n x = \lambda_2^{(n)} x. \quad (17)$$

Moreover,  $b_{j-1} > 0$  for all  $j$ . From Lemma 4 and (16) we have

$$\varphi(\lambda_1^{(1)}) = 0 \Rightarrow a_1 = \lambda_1^{(1)}.$$

Since  $x_j \neq 0$ , by (8) we get

$$a_j = \lambda_2^{(n)} - b_{j-1} \frac{x_1}{x_j}, \quad j = 2, \dots, p+1. \quad (18)$$

Substituting  $a_j$  into (16), we have

$$b_{j-1}^2 \prod_{i=2}^{j-1} (\lambda_1^{(j)} - a_i) - \frac{b_{j-1} x_1 \varphi_{j-1}(\lambda_1^{(j)})}{x_j} + (\lambda_2^{(n)} - \lambda_1^{(j)}) \varphi_{j-1}(\lambda_1^{(j)}) = 0, \quad (19)$$

condition (a) holds, which implies  $b_{j-1} > 0$  for  $j = 2, \dots, p+1$ .

For  $j = p+2, \dots, p+m$ , by (16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j(\lambda_1^{(j)}) = 0 &\Rightarrow (\lambda_1^{(j)} - a_j) \varphi_{j-1}(\lambda_1^{(j)}) - b_{j-1}^2 \varphi_{j-2}(\lambda_1^{(j)}) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow a_j = \lambda_1^{(j)} - \frac{b_{j-1}^2 \varphi_{j-2}(\lambda_1^{(j)})}{\varphi_{j-1}(\lambda_1^{(j)})}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

By (5) we have

$$b_{j-1} = \frac{(-1)^j \varphi_{j-1}(\lambda_2^{(n)}) x_1}{x_j \prod_{i=p+1}^{j-2} b_i \prod_{k=2}^{p+1} (a_k - \lambda_2^{(n)})}, \quad j = p+2, \dots, p+m. \quad (21)$$

Since  $x_j \neq 0$ , then by (21),  $b_{j-1} \neq 0$ . By successively using (20) and (21)  $a_j$  and  $b_{j-1}$  are obtained. Finally by (12) we get

$$a_j = \lambda_2^{(n)} - b_{j-1} \frac{x_{(p+m)}}{x_j}, \quad j = p+m+1, \dots, n. \quad (22)$$

Substituting  $a_j$  into (16) we have

$$b_{(j-1)}^2 \varphi_{p+m-1}(\lambda_1^{(j)}) \prod_{i=p+m+1}^{j-1} (\lambda_1^{(j)} - a_i) - \frac{b_{(j-1)} x_1 \varphi_{j-1}(\lambda_1^{(j)})}{x_j} + (\lambda_2^{(n)} - \lambda_1^{(j)}) \varphi_{j-1}(\lambda_1^{(j)}) = 0, \quad (23)$$

condition (b) holds, which implies  $b_{j-1} > 0$  for  $j = p+m+1, \dots, n$ .  $\square$

The following algorithm solves the IEP1. All recurrent relations involve the square of entries  $b_{j-1}$ . In the algorithm we choose the  $b_{j-1} > 0$ .

---

**Algorithm 1** To solve problem IEP1

---

1: Input:  $p, m, q, \lambda_1^{(1)}, \lambda_1^{(2)}, \lambda_2^{(2)}, \dots, \lambda_1^{(n)}, \lambda_2^{(n)}$ , where  $p + m + q = n$ .  
2:  $a_1 = \lambda_1^{(1)}$ .  
3: **for**  $j = 2$  to  $p + 1$  **do**  
4:     replacing  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{j-1}$  and  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{j-2}$  into (19) to finding the two solutions  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ .  
5:     **if**  $\alpha_1 < 0$  and  $\alpha_2 < 0$  **then**  
6:         ending the algorithm.  
7:     **end if**  
8:     **if**  $\alpha_1 > 0$  **then**  
9:          $b_{j-1} = \alpha_1$ , computing  $a_j$  by (19).  
10:     **end if**  
11:     **if**  $\alpha_2 > 0$  **then**  
12:          $b'_{j-1} = \alpha_2$ , computing  $a'_j$  by (19).  
13:     **end if**  
14:     **end for**  
15: **for**  $j = p + 2$  to  $p + m$  **do**  
16:     
$$a_j = \lambda_1^{(j)} - \frac{b_{j-1}^2 \varphi_{j-2}(\lambda_1^{(j)})}{\varphi_{j-1}(\lambda_1^{(j)})}. \quad b_{j-1} = \frac{(-1)^j \varphi_{j-1}(\lambda_2^{(n)}) x_1}{x_j \prod_{i=p+1}^{j-2} b_i \prod_{k=2}^{p+1} (a_k - \lambda_2^{(n)})}$$
  
17:     **end for**  
18: **for**  $j = p + m + 1$  to  $n$  **do**  
19:     replacing  $a_{p+m}, a_{p+m+1}, \dots, a_{j-1}$  and  $b_{p+m-1}, b_{p+m}, \dots, b_{j-2}$  into (23) to finding the two solutions  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ .  
20:     **if**  $\beta_1 < 0$  and  $\beta_2 < 0$  **then**  
21:         ending the algorithm.  
22:     **end if**  
23:     **if**  $\beta_1 > 0$  **then**  
24:          $b_{j-1} = \beta_1$ , computing  $a_j$  by (23).  
25:     **end if**  
26:     **if**  $\beta_2 > 0$  **then**  
27:          $b'_{j-1} = \beta_2$ , computing  $a'_j$  by (23).  
28:     **end if**  
29:     **end for**

---

## 4 The nonnegative case

In this section, we examine the conditions for the existence of nonnegative matrix  $A_n$  of the form (1).

**Theorem 2.** Let the list of real numbers  $\lambda_2^{(n)}, \lambda_1^{(j)}, j = 1, \dots, n$ , and real vector  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . Then, there exists a nonnegative matrix  $A_n$  of the form (1), such that  $\lambda_2^{(n)}$  is the maximal eigenvalue of  $A_n$ ,  $\lambda_1^{(j)}$  is the minimal eigenvalue of the leading principal submatrix  $A_j$  of  $A_n$  and  $(\lambda_2^{(n)}, x)$  is an eigenpair of  $A_n$  if the following conditions are satisfied

$$x_j > 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n; \quad (24)$$

$$\lambda_1^{(1)} \geq 0; \quad (25)$$

$$\frac{\lambda_2^{(n)}}{b_{j-1}} \geq \frac{x_1}{x_j}, \quad j = 2, \dots, p+2; \quad (26)$$

$$\frac{\lambda_1^{(j)}}{b_{j-1}^2} \geq \frac{\varphi_{j-2}(\lambda_1^{(j)})}{\varphi_{j-1}(\lambda_1^{(j)})}, \quad j = p+3, \dots, p+m+1; \quad (27)$$

$$\frac{\lambda_2^{(n)}}{b_{j-1}} \geq \frac{x_{p+m}}{x_j}, \quad j = p+m+2, \dots, n. \quad (28)$$

*Proof.* Suppose the conditions (24)-(28) and (2) hold. Theorem 1 confirms the existence of the matrix of the form (1) with positive value  $b_{j-1}$ , for  $j = 2, \dots, n$ . We need to show that the diagonal elements  $a_j$  are nonnegative.

From (25) we have  $\lambda_1^{(1)} \geq 0$ , then  $a_1 = \lambda_1^{(1)} \geq 0$ , and from (2) we obtain  $0 \leq \lambda_1^{(1)} < \lambda_1^{(j)} < \lambda_2^{(n)}$ , for  $j = 2, \dots, n$ .

We consider the following three cases to discuss the nonnegativity of  $a_j$  for  $j = 2, \dots, n$ .

(1) For  $j = 2, \dots, p+2$ , from (26) we have

$$m_j = \lambda_2^{(n)}x_j - b_{j-1}x_1 \geq 0.$$

Hence, from the Theorem 1 and (24), we obtain

$$a_j = \frac{m_j}{x_j} \geq 0.$$

(2) For  $j = p+3, \dots, p+m+1$ , by multiplying the denominator and numerator of the right-hand fraction in inequality (27) by  $(-1)^{j-1}$ , we gain

$$\frac{\lambda_1^{(j)}}{b_{j-1}^2} \geq \frac{(-1)^{j-1}\varphi_{j-2}(\lambda_1^{(j)})}{(-1)^{j-1}\varphi_{j-1}(\lambda_1^{(j)})}.$$

By Lemma 1 and inequality (2) we have

$$(-1)^{j-1}\varphi_{j-1}(\lambda_1^{(j)}) > 0.$$

Then

$$(-1)^{j-1}\lambda_1^{(j)}\varphi_{j-1}(\lambda_1^{(j)}) \geq (-1)^{j-1}b_{j-1}^2\varphi_{j-2}(\lambda_1^{(j)}),$$



or

$$t_j = (-1)^{j-1} \left\{ \lambda_1^{(j)} \varphi_{j-1}(\lambda_1^{(j)}) - b_{j-1}^2 \varphi_{j-2}(\lambda_1^{(j)}) \right\} \geq 0.$$

From the Theorem 1 we obtain

$$a_j = \frac{t_j}{(-1)^{j-1} \varphi_{j-1}(\lambda_1^{(j)})} \geq 0.$$

(3) Finally when  $j = p + m + 2, \dots, n$ , from (28) we have

$$z_j = \lambda_2^{(n)} x_j - b_{j-1} x_{p+m} \geq 0.$$

Hence, from the proof of Theorem 1 and (24), we obtain

$$a_j = \frac{z_j}{x_j} \geq 0.$$

Therefore, the values of  $a_j \geq 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $b_{j-1} > 0$  for all  $j = 2, \dots, n$ . This means that the matrix  $A_n$  is nonnegative. Thus the proof is completed.  $\square$

## 5 Numerical example

We test Algorithm 1 for some examples by the MATLAB software. In this section, we provide one of numerical examples.

**Example 1.** For given 10 real numbers

$$\lambda_1^{(9)}, \lambda_1^{(8)}, \lambda_1^{(7)}, \lambda_1^{(6)}, \lambda_1^{(5)}, \lambda_1^{(4)}, \lambda_1^{(3)}, \lambda_1^{(2)}, \lambda_1^{(1)}, \lambda_2^{(9)},$$

$$-9, -7.3, -6, -3.7, -3, -2.5, -1.1, -0.5, 1, 14.0641,$$

and a real vector

$$x = (0.0193, 0.0027, 0.0031, 0.0026, 0.0887, 0.2396, 0.6144, 0.3723, 0.6467)^T.$$

Find a matrix  $A_9$  of the form (1) such that  $\lambda_1^{(j)}$  is the minimal of  $A_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 9$ , moreover  $(\lambda_2^{(9)}, x)$  is its eigenpair.

By applying Algorithm 1, we gain the following matrix as the solution

$$A_9 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0000 & 1.7378 & 1.8400 & 1.9619 & 2.6536 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1.7378 & 1.5134 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1.8400 & 0 & 2.4851 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1.9619 & 0 & 0 & -0.6390 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2.6536 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3.5002 & 3.6925 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3.6925 & -0.4221 & 5.1113 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5.1113 & 0.6675 & 6.0407 & 7.3430 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6.0407 & 4.0824 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7.3430 & 0 & 7.0736 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of all of the leading principal submatrices are

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(A_1) &= \{1.0000\} \\ \sigma(A_2) &= \{-0.5000, 3.0291\} \\ \sigma(A_3) &= \{-1.1000, 1.9581, 4.1838\} \\ \sigma(A_4) &= \{-2.5000, 0.4116, 2.0108, 4.4980\} \\ \sigma(A_5) &= \{-3.0000, 0.1641, 1.8716, 2.8758, 6.0323\} \\ \sigma(A_6) &= \{-3.7000, -1.9089, 0.3645, 1.9753, 3.7256, 7.0010\} \\ \sigma(A_7) &= \{-6.0000, -2.8475, 0.1808, 1.8188, 2.4844, 4.7692, 7.8072\} \\ \sigma(A_8) &= \{-7.3000, -3.0532, -0.4441, 0.5591, 1.9924, 3.7467, 6.6812, 10.0506\} \\ \sigma(A_9) &= \{-9.0000, -3.2112, -0.9780, 0.4500, 1.9858, 3.7445, 5.1871, 7.0016, 14.0641\}.\end{aligned}$$

To verify  $A_9x_9 = \lambda_2^{(9)}x_9$ , we compute both terms

$$A_9x_9 = (0.2679, 0.0373, 0.0428, 0.359, 1.2383, 3.3691, 8.6839, 5.2341, 9.0573)^T$$

and

$$\lambda_2^{(9)}x_9 = (0.2679, 0.0373, 0.0428, 0.359, 1.2383, 3.3691, 8.6839, 5.2341, 9.0573)^T.$$

## 6 Conclusions

In the current paper, a partially described inverse eigenvalue problem was considered for construction of specific symmetric matrix. The problem involves the construction of this matrix by one eigenpair of the required matrix and minimal eigenvalue of all leading principal submatrices. The relation for gaining the element  $x_j$  of the given eigenvector  $x$  from the elements of leading principal submatrices is important in gaining the solution. The significance of the IEP1 stems in the fact that it partially describes inverse eigenvalue problem while it constructs matrices from partial eigendata. Such partially described problems may be encountered in computations in which obtaining the entire spectrum is difficult.

## References

- [1] M. T. Chu, H. Golub, *Inverse Eigenvalue Problems: Theory, Algorithms, and Applications*, Numerical mathematics and Scientific Computation Oxford University Press, New York (2005).
- [2] D. Sharma and M. Sen, *The minimax inverse eigenvalue problem for matrices whose graph is a generalized star of depth 2*, Linear Algebra Appl, **621** (2021) 334–344.
- [3] D. Sharma and B. Sarma, *Extremal inverse eigenvalue problem for irreducible acyclic matrices*, Applied Mathematics in Science and Engineering. **30** (2022) 192–209.
- [4] M. Babaei, S.A. Shahzadeh Fazeli, S.M. Karbassi, *Inverse eigenvalue problem for constructing a kind of acyclic matrices with two eigenpairs*, Appl Math. **65** (2020) 89–103.

- [5] H. Pickmann, J. Egana, R.L. Soto, *Extremal inverse eigenvalue problem for bordered diagonal matrices*. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **427** (2007) 256–271.
- [6] L. Hogben, *Spectral graph theory and the inverse eigenvalue problem of a graph*. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra.* **14** (2005) 12–31.