Modeling and analysis of a three-component piezoelectric force sensor
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Abstract. This paper presents a mathematical model for the vibration analysis of a three-component piezoelectric force sensor. The cubic theory of weakly nonlinear electroelasticity is applied to the model for describing the electromechanical coupling effect in the piezoelectric sensing elements which operate in thickness-shear and thickness-stretch vibration modes. Hamilton’s principle is used to derive motion and charge equations for the vibration analysis. The model can predict the performance of the force sensor for use in proposed cutting force measurement.
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1 Introduction

A three-component piezoelectric force sensor, Figure 1, has been designed to address sensing resolution and frequency bandwidth issues that currently exist in the measurement of cutting forces for meso-milling applications [12]. The designed force sensor comprises of six piezoelectric sensing elements which are distributed into three groups, Figure 1(b), for measuring the three components of the cutting forces, $F_{X,Y,Z}$, respectively. Two groups of sensing elements working in thickness-shear mode are used to measure $F_X$...
and \( F_Y \) based on output charges \( Q_X \) and \( Q_Y \), and one group in thickness-stretch mode is used to measure \( F_Z \) based on output charge \( Q_Z \). In Figure 1(b), the thick black lines with '+' and '-' signs represent the positive and negative electrodes of each sensing element, \( P \) represents the polarization direction in each sensing element. The force sensor also consists of rigid top and bottom plates which are used to generate homogenous stresses on the piezoelectric sensing elements. Preloading screws are used to exert compression force on the top and bottom plates and the piezoelectric sensing elements in order to provide sufficient friction to avoid slippage between these components. A sensor housing, which is used to protect the piezoelectric sensing elements, is attached to the bottom plate.

Due to the oscillation characteristic of the input cutting forces [12], a vibration analysis model of the sensory system is imperative for analyzing the sensor response, namely the mechanical deformation and output charges, to the input excitation. The sensor response can be used to predict the sensor performance for the measurement: (1) the mechanical deformation and
output charges with respect to the excitation frequency are used to identify the frequency bandwidth, i.e., the linear operating range \([2]\), of the force sensor; (2) the output charges within the frequency bandwidth are used to determine the sensing resolution of the force sensor, i.e., the minimum change in the input signal that the sensor can detect \([7]\). The development of the vibration analysis model involves the following procedures: (1) mathematical modeling of the vibrations of the sensory system, (2) derivation of the motion and charge equations, (3) analytical solutions with respect to mechanical deformation and output charges, and (4) simulations of sensor response and analysis of sensor performance.

2 Mathematical modeling

The electromechanical model of the overall sensory system under the three-component cutting forces is presented in Figure 2 where the homogeneous stresses, \(\bar{T}_{32}, \bar{T}_{31}\), and \(\bar{T}_{33}\), due to the three components of the cutting forces, \(F_{X,Y,Z}\), excite two thickness-shear mode vibrations in \(X_2\) and \(X_1\) axial directions, Figure 2(a), and one thickness-stretch mode vibration in \(X_3\) axial direction, Figure 2(b). For mathematical modeling, the sensor components are divided into deformable components and rigid bodies due to their structural stiffness. The deformable components include the stacked piezoelectric ceramic sensing elements and the parallel preloading screws which deform under the cutting forces, as shown in Figure 2. The rigid body associated with the top surface of the deformable components includes sensor top plate, and the proposed workpiece and fixture that will be attached to the sensor top plate. The rigid body associated with the bottom surface of the deformable components includes sensor bottom plate and sensor housing. For mathematical modeling, the mass of the top rigid body is assumed to be equal to that of the bottom rigid body so that the reference coordinates, \(X_{1,2,3}\), can be fixed at the central point of the stacked piezoelectric sensing elements and the symmetry of the sensory system can be used in the modeling.

In order to determine the linear operating range of a piezoelectric device, a nonlinear vibration analysis model is necessary \([5,14]\). The nonlinear vibration analysis model is used herein to analyze the nonlinear effect, namely the large mechanical deformation and distorted output charges, of the sensory system with excitation frequency approaching the fundamental natural frequencies of the force sensor. The nonlinear effect is then used to determine the linear operating range of the force sensor where the amplitude of the mechanical deformation and the amplitude of the output
charges are only determined by the amplitude of the input cutting forces and are independent of the excitation frequency. The development of the nonlinear vibration analysis model comprises of using the theory of nonlinear electroelasticity \[15,17\] for the piezoelectric sensing elements, the three dimensional elasticity theory \[9\] for the preloading screws, and the kinetic energy equation for the rigid bodies. The theory of nonlinear electroelasticity is pertinent for modeling the nonlinear effect in piezoelectric components due to resonance \[16\]. Within these theories, the energy functional of the overall sensory system is formulated as:

\[
I(y_m, \phi) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_{V_0} V_0 \left\{ \frac{\rho_0}{2} \dot{y}_m^2 - \rho_0 \dot{\psi}(\varepsilon_{LM}, \mathcal{E}_K) - \rho_E \phi \right\} dV
+ \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_{V_1} V_1 \left\{ \frac{\rho_1}{2} \dot{y}_m^2 - \rho_1 \pi(\varepsilon_{LM}) \right\} dV
+ \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_{V_2} V_2 \frac{\rho_1}{2} y_m^2 \mid_{x_3=3h} dV + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_{V_3} V_3 \frac{\rho_1}{2} y_m^2 \mid_{x_3=-3h} dV
+ \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_{S_T} S_T T_{LM} y_m dS - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_{S_D} S_D \sigma_E \phi dS,
\]

where \(\rho_0\) and \(\rho_1\) represent the density of the piezoelectric material used for the sensing elements and the density of the steel material used for the rest sensor components. \(\rho_E\) represents the reference charge density in the piezoelectric sensing elements. \(y_m\) represents the motion of a material point in the sensor components due to the vibrations, \(m = 1, 2, 3\) defining the directions of the motion along the reference coordinates, \(X_{1,2,3}\). \(\phi\) is the electric potential in the piezoelectric sensing elements. \(\dot{\psi}(\varepsilon_{LM}, \mathcal{E}_K)\) and \(\pi(\varepsilon_{LM})\) are referred to as energy densities due to the deformation in the stacked piezoelectric sensing elements and the parallel preloading screws. \(\varepsilon_{LM}\) represents the strain in the deformable sensor components and \(\mathcal{E}_K\) represents the electric field in the piezoelectric sensing elements. Indices \(L, M\) define the vibration mode of the sensory system and index \(K\) defines the electric field direction in the piezoelectric sensing elements. \(V_0, V_1, V_2\) and \(V_3\) represent the volume of the stacked piezoelectric sensing elements, the parallel preloading screws, the top rigid body, and the bottom rigid body. \(h\) represents the thickness of each piezoelectric sensing element. \(T_{LM}\) represents the stresses distributed on the deformable sensor components due to cutting forces \(F_{X,Y,Z}\), and \(S_T\) represents the area of the top and bottom surfaces of the deformable components where \(T_{LM}\) is exerted onto. \(\sigma_E\) represents the density of the free charges distributed on the surface \(S_D\) of each piezoelectric sensing element due to the electromechanical coupling.
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For the nonlinear vibration analysis, the strain $\varepsilon_{LM}$ is defined for the deformable components as [15]:

$$
\varepsilon_{LM} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial X_M} \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial X_L} - \delta_{LM} \right),
$$

where $\delta_{LM}$ is the Kronecker delta. The electric field $\mathcal{E}_K$ in each piezoelectric sensing element is defined as [15]:

$$
\mathcal{E}_K = - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X_K}.
$$

### 3 Motion and charge equations

Within Hamilton’s principle [1], the first variation of (1) with respect to variables $y_m$ and $\phi$ gives (integration by parts is applied to the quadratic terms in (1) for the first variation as illustrated in the Appendix):

$$
\delta I(y_m, \phi) = - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_{V_0} \left\{ \rho_0 \ddot{y}_m \delta y_m + \rho_0 \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}(\varepsilon_{LM}, \mathcal{E}_K)}{\partial \varepsilon_{LM}} \delta \varepsilon_{LM} \right\} dV
$$

$$
- \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_{V_0} \left\{ \rho_0 \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}(\varepsilon_{LM}, \mathcal{E}_K)}{\partial \mathcal{E}_K} \delta \mathcal{E}_K + \rho_0 E \delta \phi \right\} dV
$$

$$
- \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_{V_1} \left\{ \rho_1 \ddot{y}_m \delta y_m + \rho_1 \frac{d \pi (\varepsilon_{LM})}{d \varepsilon_{LM}} \delta \varepsilon_{LM} \right\} dV
$$

$$
- \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_{V_2} (\rho_1 \ddot{y}_m \delta y_m) |_{X_3=3h} dV
$$

$$
+ \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_{V_3} (\rho_1 \ddot{y}_m \delta y_m) |_{X_3=-3h} dV
$$

$$
+ \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_{S_{LM}} \vec{S}_{LM} \delta y_m dS - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_{S_D} \vec{S}_D \delta \phi dS = 0.
$$

From (2) and (3), and following the chain rule [10] and the commutativity between the operators [11], the variation of the strain and the variation of the electric field are defined as:

$$
\delta \varepsilon_{LM} = \delta \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial X_M} \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial X_L} - \delta_{LM} \right) \right\}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\delta (\partial y_m)}{\partial X_L} \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial X_M} + \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial X_L} \delta \left( \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial X_M} \right) \right\} = \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial X_M} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_M} \delta y_m.
$$
\[ \delta E_K = \delta \left( - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X_K} \right) = - \frac{\partial}{\partial X_K} \delta \phi. \quad (6) \]

Equation (4) is then rewritten as:

\[
\delta I(y_m, \phi)
= - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_0^{V_0} \left\{ \rho_0 \ddot{y}_m \delta y + \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial X_M} \rho_0 \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}(\varepsilon_{LM}, E_K)}{\partial \varepsilon_{LM}} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_L} \delta y_m \right\} dV
+ \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_0^{V_1} \left\{ \rho_0 \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}(\varepsilon_{LM}, E_K)}{\partial E_K} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_K} \delta \phi - \rho E \delta \phi \right\} dV
- \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_0^{V_2} \left\{ \rho_1 \ddot{y}_m \delta y + \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial X_M} \rho_1 \frac{d\pi(\varepsilon_{LM})}{d\varepsilon_{LM}} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_L} \delta y_m \right\} dV
- \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_0^{V_3} (\rho_1 \ddot{y}_m \delta y) \big|_{X_3=3h} dV
+ \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_0^{S_T} \bar{T}_{LM} \delta y_M dS - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_0^{S_D} \bar{\sigma} \delta \phi dS = 0, \quad (7)\]

where \( \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial X_M} \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}(\varepsilon_{LM}, E_K)}{\partial \varepsilon_{LM}} \) and \( \rho_0 \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}(\varepsilon_{LM}, E_K)}{\partial E_K} \) are defined as two-point total stress tensor, \( \hat{K}_{LM} \), and reference electric displacement, \( D_K \), for the piezoelectric electric sensing elements as [15]:

\[ \hat{K}_{LM} = \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial X_M} \rho_0 \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}(\varepsilon_{LM}, E_K)}{\partial \varepsilon_{LM}}, \quad (8) \]

\[ D_K = - \rho_0 \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}(\varepsilon_{LM}, E_K)}{\partial E_K}. \quad (9) \]

Similarly, \( \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial X_M} \rho_1 \frac{d\pi(\varepsilon_{LM})}{d\varepsilon_{LM}} \) is defined as two-point total stress tensor, \( K_{LM} \), for the preloading screws as:

\[ K_{LM} = \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial X_M} \rho_1 \frac{d\pi(\varepsilon_{LM})}{d\varepsilon_{LM}}. \quad (10) \]
Substitute (8) to (10) into (7) and integrate the first and second integrals in (7) by parts, (7) is rewritten as:

\[ \delta I(y_m, \phi) = -\int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_0^{V_0} \left\{ \rho_0 \ddot{y}_m \delta y + \rho_E \delta \phi \right\} dV \]

\[ -\int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_0^{S_T} dS \left\{ \hat{K}_{Lm} \delta y_m |_{x_L}^{x_L} - \int_0^{x_L} \hat{K}_{Lm,L} \delta y_m dX_L \right\} \]

\[ -\int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_0^{S_D} dS \left\{ D_K \delta \phi |_{x_K}^{x_K} - \int_0^{x_K} D_{K,K} \delta \phi dX_K \right\} \]

\[ + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_0^{V_0} \left\{ \rho_0 \ddot{y}_m - \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} \rho_1 \ddot{y}_m \right\} \delta y_m dV \]

\[ -\int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_0^{V_2} \left( \rho_1 \ddot{y}_m \right) |_{x_3=3h} dV + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_0^{V_3} \left( \rho_1 \ddot{y}_m \right) |_{x_3=-3h} dV \]

\[ + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_0^{V_0} \left\{ \hat{K}_{Lm,L} + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} K_{Lm,L} \right\} \delta y_m dV \]

\[ + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_0^{S_T} \left( \hat{K}_{Lm} + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} K_{Lm} \right) N_L \delta y_M dS \]

\[ -\int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_0^{S_T} \left( \hat{K}_{Lm} + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} K_{Lm} \right) N_K \delta y_M dS \]

\[ -\int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_0^{V_2} \left( \rho_1 \ddot{y}_m \right) |_{x_3=3h} dV + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_0^{V_3} \left( \rho_1 \ddot{y}_m \right) |_{x_3=-3h} dV \]

\[ -\int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_0^{S_D} \left\{ \mathcal{D}_K N_K + \bar{\sigma}_E \right\} \delta \phi dS = 0, \]  

(11)

where \( N_L \) and \( N_K \) represent the unit normal of the stress and electric boundary surfaces, respectively. Separate the terms in (11) with respect to \( \delta y_m \) and \( \delta \phi \), the motion and charge equations of the sensory system are
formulated as:
\[
\hat{K}_{Lm,L} + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} K_{Lm,L} = \left( \rho_0 + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} \rho_1 \right) \ddot{y}_m,
\]  
(12)

\[D_{K,K} = \rho_E,\]
(13)

and the stress and electric boundary conditions are formulated as:
\[
\int_{S_T} \left( \hat{K}_{Lm} + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} \hat{K}_{Lm} \right) N_LdS + \int_{V_2} (\rho_1 \ddot{y}_m) |_{X_3=3h} dV
\]
\[
- \int_{V_3} (\rho_1 \ddot{y}_m) |_{X_3=-3h} dV = \int_{S_T} \bar{T}_{LM}dS,
\]
(14)

\[D_{K,N} = -\bar{\sigma}_E.\]
(15)

The displacement vector for the motion of a material point can be defined as [15]:
\[
u = y - X,
\]
(16)

where \(\nu\) represents the displacement vector of a material point, \(y\) represents the present position vector of the material point, and \(X\) is constant which represents the original position vector of the material point. The differential of (16) over time gives:
\[
\dot{\nu} = \dot{y},
\]
(17)

\[\ddot{\nu} = \ddot{y}.\]
(18)

From (18), (12) is rewritten with displacement term as:
\[
\hat{K}_{Lm,L} + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} K_{Lm,L} = \left( \rho_0 + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} \rho_1 \right) \ddot{u}_m.
\]
(19)

Relating \(\hat{K}_{Lm}, K_{Lm}\), and \(u_m\) to the reference coordinates by denoting [17]:
\[
\hat{K}_{LM} = \hat{K}_{Lm}\delta_{mM},
\]
(20)

\[K_{LM} = K_{Lm}\delta_{mM},\]
(21)

\[u_M = u_m\delta_{mM},\]
(22)

the motion equation presented in (19) is then rewritten as:
\[
\hat{K}_{LM,L} + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} K_{LM,L} = \left( \rho_0 + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} \rho_1 \right) \ddot{u}_M,
\]
(23)
and the stress boundary condition presented in (14) is rewritten as:

\[
\int_{S_T} \left( \hat{K}_{LM} + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} K_{LM} \right) N_L dS + \int_{V_2} (\rho_1 \ddot{u}_M) \big|_{x_3=3h} dV \\
- \int_{0}^{V_3} (\rho_1 \ddot{u}_M) \big|_{x_3=-3h} dV = \int_{S_T} \hat{T}_{LM} dS. 
\]  

(24)

A charge mode amplifier [6] will be used to amplify the sensor output charge signals for subsequent data acquisition and processing. Since the charge mode amplifier maintains a weak electric field in each sensing element, the cubic theory of weakly nonlinear electroelasticity [17] is sufficient to model the electromechanical coupling effect in the piezoelectric sensing elements. Within the cubic theory of weakly nonlinear electroelasticity, the energy density due to the deformation of the piezoelectric sensing elements is defined as [17]:

\[
\rho_0 \dot{\psi}(\varepsilon_{LM}, E_K) = \frac{1}{2} c_{ABCD} \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{CD} + \frac{1}{6} c_{ABCDEF} \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{CD} \varepsilon_{EF} \\
+ \frac{1}{24} c_{ABCDEFGH} \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{CD} \varepsilon_{EF} \varepsilon_{GH} - e_{ABC} \varepsilon_A \varepsilon_B - \frac{1}{2} \chi_{AB} \varepsilon_A \varepsilon_B. 
\]  

(25)

With the partial differential of (25) and keeping up to the cubic terms of displacement, the two-point total stress tensor \( \hat{K}_{LM} \) in piezoelectric sensing elements is defined as [17]:

\[
\hat{K}_{LM} = \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial X_M} \rho_0 \frac{\partial \psi(\varepsilon_{LM}, E_K)}{\partial \varepsilon_{LM}} \delta_i M \\
= c_{LMRS} \frac{\partial u_R}{\partial X_S} + e_{KLM} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X_K} + \hat{c}_{LMSKN} \frac{\partial u_R}{\partial X_S} \frac{\partial u_K}{\partial X_N} \\
+ \hat{c}_{LMRSKNIJ} \frac{\partial u_R}{\partial X_S} \frac{\partial u_K}{\partial X_N} \frac{\partial u_I}{\partial X_J}, 
\]  

(26)

and the reference electric displacement \( D_K \) in piezoelectric sensing elements is defined as [17]:

\[
D_K = -\rho_0 \frac{\partial \psi(\varepsilon_{LM}, E_K)}{\partial \varepsilon_K} \\
= e_{KRS} \frac{\partial u_R}{\partial X_S} - \xi_{KL} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X_L}. 
\]  

(27)

Similarly, within the theory of weakly nonlinear elasticity [8], the energy density due to the deformation in the parallel preloading screws is defined
\[ \rho_1 \pi (\varepsilon_{LM}) = \frac{1}{2} c_{ABCD} \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{CD} + \frac{1}{6} c_{ABCDEFG} \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{CD} \varepsilon_{EF} + \frac{1}{24} c_{ABCDEFGH} \varepsilon_{AB} \varepsilon_{CD} \varepsilon_{EF} \varepsilon_{GH}. \]  

(28)

With the differential of (28) and keeping up to the cubic terms of displacements, the two-point total stress tensor \( K_{LM} \) in preloading screws is defined as:

\[ K_{LM} = \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial X_M} \rho_1 \frac{d\pi (\varepsilon_{LM})}{d\varepsilon_{LM} \delta_{LM}} + \frac{1}{12} (c_{LMRS} \frac{\partial u_R}{\partial X_S} + \hat{c}_{LMRSKN} \frac{\partial u_R}{\partial X_S} \frac{\partial u_K}{\partial X_N} + \hat{c}_{LMRSKNIJ} \frac{\partial u_R}{\partial X_S} \frac{\partial u_K}{\partial X_N} \frac{\partial u_I}{\partial X_J}), \]  

(29)

where \( \hat{c}_{LMRSKN} \) and \( \hat{c}_{LMRSKNIJ} \) are given by [17]:

\[ \hat{c}_{LMRSKN} = \frac{1}{2} (c_{LMRSKN} + c_{LMNS} \delta_{KR} + c_{LNRS} \delta_{KM}), \]  

(30)

\[ \hat{c}_{LMRSKNIJ} = \frac{1}{6} c_{LMRSKNIJ} \]  

(31)

\( c_{LMRS}, c_{LMNS}, c_{LNRS} \) and \( c_{LNJS} \) represent the second order elastic constants, \( c_{LMRSKN}, c_{LMKNSJ} \) and \( c_{LNRSIJ} \) represent the third order elastic constants, \( c_{LMRSKNIJ} \) represents the fourth order elastic constant. \( \delta_{LM}, \delta_{KR}, \delta_{KM}, \delta_{RI} \) and \( \delta_{MK} \) are the Kronecker deltas. \( e_{KLM} \) and \( e_{KRS} \) represent the piezoelectric stress constants of the sensing element material. \( \xi_{KL} \) represents the dielectric coefficient of the sensing element material. \( \chi_{AB} \) is the electric susceptibility.

4 Analytical solutions

The vibration analysis model is solved for the mechanical deformation of the sensory system and the output charges of each sensing element group due to the input cutting forces. Thin plate structure is used herein for the piezoelectric sensing elements so that edge effect can be ignored [14, 16]. Namely, the mechanical deformation, represented by \( u_M \), and the electric potential in the sensing element are independent of the spatial variables \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) [14].
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4.1 Mechanical deformation

Due to the top and bottom rigid bodies, the mechanical deformation of the force sensor are equal to the mechanical deformation of the deformable sensor components. The displacement $u_M$ in the deformable sensor components is defined as [14]:

$$u_M (X_3, t) \cong u (t) \sin \frac{\pi}{6h} X_3,$$

(32)

the mechanical deformation of the force sensor is determined by the difference of the displacement between the top and bottom surfaces of the deformable sensor components as:

$$u_M (3h, t) - u_M (-3h, t) = 2u (t),$$

(33)

where $M = 1, 2, 3$ corresponding to the mechanical deformation in $X_1, X_2, X_3$ directions.

4.2 Output charges

The output charges of each sensing element group are determined by solving (13) for the electric displacement $D_K$. From (13) and (27), the partial differential of $\phi$ gives:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial X^2_L} = \frac{e_{KLM}}{\xi_{KL}} \frac{\partial^2 u_M}{\partial X^2_L},$$

(34)

where $L = 3$ corresponding to the spatial variable $X_3$ and $K = 3$ corresponding to the electric field which is also defined in $X_3$ direction.

Integration of (34) results in:

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X_L} = \frac{e_{KLM}}{\xi_{KL}} \frac{\partial u_M}{\partial X_L} + C_1 (t),$$

(35)

$$\phi = \frac{e_{KLM}}{\xi_{KL}} u_M + C_1 (t) X_L + C_2 (t).$$

(36)

Substitute (35) into (27) and isolate (27) for $C_1 (t)$:

$$D_K = e_{KLM} \frac{\partial u_M}{\partial X_M} - \xi_{KL} \left( \frac{e_{KLM}}{\xi_{KL}} \frac{\partial u_M}{\partial X_L} + C_1 (t) \right) = -\xi_{KL} C_1 (t).$$

(37)

Using the electric boundary condition presented in (15) and the expression of $D_K$ presented in (37), the output charges for each sensing element group are determined by $C_1 (t)$ as [5]:

$$Q = 2 \int_0^{S_D} \sigma_E dS = 2 \int_0^{S_D} (-D_K) dS = 2S_D \xi_{KL} C_1 (t).$$

(38)
4.3 Solution method

The vibration model presented in (23) is solved by the method of separating the spatial variable \( X_3 \) and time \( t \) with the use of (32) for the expression of displacement \( u_M \) and (24) for the expression of the stress boundary condition. Since the linear operating range is approximated as 1/3 of the minimum fundamental natural frequency \([4]\), the fundamental natural frequencies of the three dimensional vibrations are of interest herein for the analysis. Within Hamiltion’s principle, the following variational equation is used to solve the vibration model:

\[
\int_{-3h}^{3h} \left\{ \ddot{K}_{LM} + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} K_{LM} - \left( \rho_0 + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} \rho_1 \right) \ddot{u}_M \right\} \delta u_M dX_L = 0.
\]  
(39)

Integrating (39) by parts and utilizing (24) for the stress boundary condition, we obtain:

\[
\left\{ \ddot{K}_{LM} + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} K_{LM} \right\} \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6h} X_L \right) \bigg|_{-3h}^{3h} + \left\{ \frac{6h}{\pi} \left( \rho_0 + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} \rho_1 \right) \ddot{u} \right. (t) \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{6h} X_L \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6h} X_L \right) \bigg|_{-3h}^{3h} - \int_{-3h}^{3h} \left\{ \ddot{K}_{LM} + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} K_{LM} \right\} \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{6h} X_L \right) d \left( \frac{\pi}{6h} X_L \right) - \int_{-3h}^{3h} \left\{ \frac{6h}{\pi} \left( \rho_0 + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} \rho_1 \right) \ddot{u} \right. (t) \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{6h} X_L \right) \bigg|_{-3h}^{3h} = 0,
\]  
(40)

where

\[
\left\{ \ddot{K}_{LM} + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} K_{LM} \right\} \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6h} X_L \right) \bigg|_{-3h}^{3h} + \left\{ \frac{6h}{\pi} \left( \rho_0 + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} \rho_1 \right) \ddot{u} \right. (t) \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{6h} X_L \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6h} X_L \right) \bigg|_{-3h}^{3h} = 2 \left\{ \ddot{K}_{LM} + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} K_{LM} \right\}
\]

\[
= 2 \ddot{T}_{LM} - \frac{2}{S_T} \int_0^{V_2} \left( \rho_1 \ddot{u} (t) \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6h} X_L \right) \right) |_{X_3=3h} dV + \frac{2}{S_T} \int_0^{V_3} \left( \rho_1 \ddot{u} (t) \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{6h} X_L \right) \right) |_{X_3=-3h} dV
\]
\[
= 2 \left\{ \ddot{T}_{LM} - \frac{\rho_1}{S_T} (V_2 + V_3) \ddot{u} (t) \right\} ,
\]  
(41)
Figure 1(b), is defined as:

\[ \omega \text{represents the oscillation frequency of the input cutting forces.} \]

Combining (41) and (42) into (40) and normalizing the resultant, an ODE is obtained to describe the vibration motions:

\[
\ddot{u}(t) + \omega_1^2 u(t) + \gamma u^2(t) + \eta u^3(t) + \lambda C_1(t) = \frac{2\bar{T}_{LM}}{\alpha} \sin(\omega t),
\]

where \( \alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2} \) for thickness-stretch mode vibration and \( \alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2} \) for thickness-shear mode vibrations. Combining (41) and (42) into (40) and normalizing the resultant, an ODE is obtained to describe the vibration motions:

\[
\int_{-3h}^{3h} \left\{ \frac{K_{LM}}{S_D} + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} K_{LM} \right\} \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{6h} X_L \right) d \left( \frac{\pi}{6h} X_L \right)
\]

\[
+ \int_{-3h}^{3h} \left\{ \frac{6h}{\pi} \left( \frac{\rho_0 + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} \rho_1}{\rho_1} \right) \ddot{u} \right\} \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{6h} X_L \right) d \left( \frac{\pi}{6h} X_L \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{12h} \left\{ \left( c_{LMMLML}^0 + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} c_{LMMLML}^1 \right) \pi^2 + \frac{\xi_{KK}^2}{\pi} \right\} u(t) + 2\bar{e}_{KLM} C_1(t)
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{c_{LMMLMLML}^0 + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} c_{LMMLMLML}^1}{576h^3} \right) u^4(t),
\]

where \( \alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2} \) for thickness-stretch mode vibration and \( \alpha = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2} \) for thickness-shear mode vibrations. Combining (41) and (42) into (40) and normalizing the resultant, an ODE is obtained to describe the vibration motions:

\[
\omega_1 \text{is defined as:}
\]

\[
\omega_1 = \frac{1}{6h} \sqrt{\left( c_{LMMLMLML}^0 + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} c_{LMMLMLML}^1 \right) \pi^2 + \frac{\xi_{KK}^2}{\pi} \alpha},
\]

and the other parameters are \( \gamma = \frac{\left( c_{LMMLMLMLMLML}^0 + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} c_{LMMLMLMLMLML}^1 \right) \pi^2}{27h^2 \alpha} \),

\[ \eta = \frac{\left( c_{LMMLMLMLMLMLML}^0 + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} c_{LMMLMLMLMLMLML}^1 \right) \pi^4}{576h^3 \alpha}, \lambda = \frac{\bar{e}_{KLM}}{2 \alpha}, \]

\[ \mu = 3h \left( \rho_0 + \frac{S_T - S_D}{S_D} \rho_1 \right) + \frac{\phi}{S_T} (V_2 + V_3). \]

\( \bar{T}_{LM} \) represents the amplitude of the stresses due to the three components of the cutting forces, \( F_{X,Y,Z} \). \( \omega \) represents the oscillation frequency of the input cutting forces.

The electric boundary condition due to the common ground connection, Figure 1(b), is defined as:

\[
\phi(X_3 + h, t) = \phi(X_3 - h, t),
\]

(45)
where \( X_3 = +2h, 0, 2h \) for the top, middle, and bottom sensing element groups, respectively. Using the definition of \( \phi \) presented in (36), the following equation is formulated which forms a function set with (43) for solving for \( u(t) \) and \( C_1(t) \):

\[
\frac{e_{KLM}}{\nu \xi_{KL}} u(t) + 2hC_1(t) = 0,
\]

where \( \nu = 1 \) for thickness-stretch mode vibration and \( \nu = 2 \) for thickness-shear mode vibrations.

5 Simulations and analysis

The function set presented in (43) and (46) was solved in MATLAB for the sensor response, namely the mechanical deformation and the output charges which are determined by \( u(t) \) and \( C_1(t) \), respectively. Simulations of sensor response were performed with sensor component dimensions and material parameters presented in Tables 1 to 3. Since the mechanical deformation and the output charges possess the same oscillation characteristic with the input cutting forces, the amplitude of the mechanical deformation and the amplitude of the output charges can be used to analyze the sensor performance, namely, frequency bandwidth and sensing resolution. The requirements on the sensor design are that the force sensor can provide a sensing resolution of within 10 mN and a frequency bandwidth of up to 8.3 kHz [12].

Table 1: Sensor Components’ Material and Dimensions [12].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor Components</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Dimensions (\text{mm}^3)</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensing elements</td>
<td>BM800</td>
<td>16.00 \times 16.00 \times 0.50</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top plate</td>
<td>A2 (304)</td>
<td>22.00 \times 22.00 \times 1.80</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom plate</td>
<td>A2 (304)</td>
<td>22.40 \times 22.40 \times 3.30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor housing</td>
<td>A2 (304)</td>
<td>22.40 \times 22.40 \times 0.50</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preloading screws</td>
<td>A2 (304)</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The size of sensor housing is in the format of inner side length \times inner side width \times thickness.

5.1 Fundamental natural frequencies

Figure 3 presents the fundamental natural frequencies of the three mode vibrations of the sensory system with respect to different sensing element
Table 2: Parameters of BM800 Used in the Simulations [13].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thickness-Shear Mode Vibration due to $F_X$</th>
<th>Thickness-Shear Mode Vibration due to $F_Y$</th>
<th>Thickness-Stretch Mode Vibration due to $F_Z$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass density ($kg/m^3$)</td>
<td>$\rho_0 = 7600$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric coefficient $(10^{-12}F/m)$</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_{33} = 8854$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic constants $(10^{10} N/m^2)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{44}^0 = 3.33$</td>
<td>$c_{55}^0 = 3.33$</td>
<td>$c_{33}^0 = 14.63$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{44}^0 = 0$</td>
<td>$c_{55}^0 = 0$</td>
<td>$c_{33}^0 = 14.63$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{44}^0 = 7.32$</td>
<td>$c_{55}^0 = 7.32$</td>
<td>$c_{33}^0 = 7.32$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piezo-stress constants top $e_{34}^0 = 9.50$</td>
<td>$e_{35}^0 = 0$</td>
<td>$e_{33}^0 = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>middle $e_{34}^0 = 0$</td>
<td>$e_{35}^0 = 0$</td>
<td>$e_{33}^0 = 21.21$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bottom $e_{34}^0 = 0$</td>
<td>$e_{35}^0 = 9.50$</td>
<td>$e_{33}^0 = 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Top, middle, and bottom represent the top, middle, and bottom groups, respectively, of the stacked piezoelectric sensing elements.

thicknesses. For the proposed 0.5 mm sensing element thickness, the fundamental natural frequencies are identified to be 37.0 kHz for thickness-shear mode vibrations and 76.2 kHz for the thickness-stretch mode vibration.

5.2 Frequency bandwidth

The amplitude of the mechanical deformation and the amplitude of the output charges are presented in Figure 4 and Figure 5, respectively, with respect to the excitation frequency approaching the fundamental natural frequencies. As can be seen from Figure 4, the relationship between the mechanical deformation and excitation becomes nonlinear when the excitation frequency approaches the fundamental natural frequencies. The large mechanical deformation near the fundamental natural frequencies influence the performance of the force sensor, represented by the distorted output charges as shown in Figure 5, and hence, should be avoided in the cutting force measurement. From Figure 4 and Figure 5, it can be seen that the force sensor can provide a linear operating range of approaching 10 kHz which is sufficient for providing the required 8.3 kHz frequency bandwidth.
Table 3: Parameters of A2 (304) Used in the Simulations [3].

| Thickness- | Thickness- | Thickness- |
| Shear Mode | Shear Mode | Stretch Mode |
| Vibration due to $F_X$ | Vibration due to $F_Y$ | Vibration due to $F_Z$ |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass density ($kg/m^3$)</th>
<th>$\rho_1 = 8030$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elastic constants $(10^{10} N/m^2)$</td>
<td>$c_{44}^1 = 7.80$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$c_{444}^1 = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$c_{4444}^1 = 11.32$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Compact indices are used for elastic and piezoelectric stress constants in Tables 2 and 3.

Figure 3: Fundamental Natural Frequencies W.R.T. Sensing Element Thickness.

5.3 Sensing resolution

Figure 6 presents the amplitude of the output charges with respect to input cutting forces for excitation frequency ranging up to 8.3 kHz, corresponding to the required frequency bandwidth. From Figure 6, it can be seen that the output charges possess a linear relationship with the input cutting forces within the frequency bandwidth. From the slope of the output charges, the sensitivity of the force sensor is defined as 325 pC/N while measuring $F_X$ and $F_Y$, and 160 pC/N while measuring $F_Z$. This sensitivity indicates that for a unit output charge of 1pC, the force sensor can detect a 3 mN change in the input force signal while measuring $F_X$ and $F_Y$, and 6 mN change in the input force signal while measuring $F_Z$. Namely, a sensing resolution of 3 mN is predicted when measuring $F_X$ and $F_Y$ and 6 mN, when measuring
Figure 4: Mechanical Deformation W.R.T. Excitation Frequency Approaching Fundamental Natural Frequencies (with Force Amplitude of 15N).

Figure 5: Output Charges W.R.T. Excitation Frequency Approaching Fundamental Natural Frequencies (with Force Amplitude of 10 mN).

6 Conclusion

This paper develops a mathematical model for use in the vibration analysis of a three-component piezoelectric force sensor which is designed for measuring the three-component cutting forces in meso-milling process. The vibration analysis model describes the nonlinear three dimensional vibrations in the sensory system due to the excitation of the oscillating input cutting forces. The analytical solutions of the vibration analysis model determine the sensor response, namely mechanical deformation and output charges, to the input cutting forces. The sensor response is then used to analyze
Figure 6: Output Charges W.R.T. Cutting Forces (with Oscillating Frequency of up to 8.3 kHz).

the sensor performance, including frequency bandwidth and sensing resolution, while measuring the three-component cutting forces in meso-milling process. Simulations with respect to the sensor response predict that the designed force sensor can provide required sensing resolution and frequency bandwidth needed for use in the proposed cutting force measurement.
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Appendix

Integration by parts is applied to the quadratic terms in (1) for the first variation. The procedures are illustrated herein for the quadratic term with
parameter $\rho_0$:

$$\delta \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_0^{V_0} \frac{\rho_0}{2} \dot{y}_m^2 dV = \rho_0 \int_0^{V_0} dV \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \dot{y}_m \delta \ddot{y}_m dt$$

$$= \rho_0 \int_0^{V_0} dV \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \dot{y}_m \delta \dot{y}_m dt$$

$$= \rho_0 \int_0^{V_0} dV \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \delta \dot{y}_m d\dot{y}_m$$

$$= \rho_0 \int_0^{V_0} dV \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \dot{y}_m d\dot{y}_m$$

$$= \rho_0 \int_0^{V_0} dV \left\{ (\delta y_m \dot{y}_m) \bigg|_{t_0}^{t_1} - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \delta y_m \ddot{y}_m dt \right\}$$

$$= \rho_0 \int_0^{V_0} dV \left\{ 0 - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \delta y_m \ddot{y}_m dt \right\}$$

$$= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt \int_0^{V_0} \left\{ -\rho_0 \ddot{y}_m \delta y_m \right\} dV$$

The method and procedures also apply to the quadratic term with parameter $\rho_1$. 